

## Gaithersburg, MD

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2015



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Gaithersburg's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Gaithersburg's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

In general, across each pillar and within each facet, Gaithersburg's ratings tended to be similar when compared to other communities across the nation. The only exception was Education and Enrichment within Participation which was rated lower. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	2	49	1	1	33	0	1	29	6	
General	0	6	1	1	2	0	0	4	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	
Mobility	1	7	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	
Economy	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	
Recreation and Wellness	1	6	0	0	3	0	0	4	1	
Education and Enrichment	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	
Community Engagement	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	8	2	

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

## The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Recommend Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%
	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Services provided by Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Remain in Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
neral	Place to retire	1	<b>↓</b>	47%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	1	55%	Contacted Gaithersburg employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	38%
Built Natural Mobility Safety General	Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%					Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%		Frend         Benchmark         positive           ↔         ↔         73%           ↔         ↔         76%           ↔         ↑         55%           ↔         ↔         \$2%         ₩           ↔         ↔         66%           ↔         ↔         68%           ↓         ↔         58%           ↔         ↔         71%           ↓         ↔         70%           ↔         ↔         68%           ↔         ↔         68%           ↔         ↔         68%           ↔         ↔         79%           ↔         ↔         61%						
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%								
	Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%								
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
ج [	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
Safe	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	40%
İ					Animal control	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%				
	Traffic flow	1	$\leftrightarrow$	47%	Traffic enforcement	↓ ↓	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%
	Travel by car	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Street repair	1	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%
bility	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	53%	Street cleaning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	53%
€ [	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%				
[	Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	1	59%	Snow removal	↓	$\leftrightarrow$	70%				
	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	Sidewalk maintenance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%				
	Public parking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%								
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%								
ا ب	Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
e I	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Yard waste pick-up	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	79%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
Jatura	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%	Open space	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
En _					Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%				
<u>+</u>	New development in Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Storm drainage	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	↓ ↓	55%
uilt	Affordable quality housing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%	Land use, planning and zoning	↔	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Did NOT observe a code violation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%
<u>₹</u>	Housing options	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%	Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%				
ᇤ	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%				
İ	Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%								



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher}\qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher}\qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar}\qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower}\qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower}\qquad ^*\quad \text{Not available}$ 

## The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Economic development	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Economy will have positive impact on income	1	$\leftrightarrow$	36%
_	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%					Purchased goods or services in Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%
μ	Employment opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	47%					Work in Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%
5	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%					_			
Economy	Cost of living	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%								
_	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	48%								
	Place to work	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%								
	Business and services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%								
	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%
Recreation and Wellness	Recreational opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Recreation centers	1	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Used Gaithersburg recreation centers	Ţ	↓ ↓	47%
š	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%
ם ר and	Food	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%					Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%
eation	Mental health care	$\leftrightarrow$	1	61%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%
je	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%								
~	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%								
	K-12 education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Used Gaithersburg public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%
t d	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%					Participated in religious or spiritual activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↓ ↓	38%
le r	Child care/preschool	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%		positive   Participation   Participation   Participated	Ţ	41%				
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%					, ,			
교교	Adult education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%								
	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Voted in local elections	1	<b>1</b>	63%
	Opportunities to volunteer	1	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Overall direction	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%		*	$\leftrightarrow$	82%
ent	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Attended a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	16%
Community Engagement	Social events and activities	1	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Watched a local public meeting	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	24%
	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Confidence in City government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Volunteered	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	27%
imumi					Acting in the best interest of Gaithersburg	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Participated in a club	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	23%
Con					Being honest	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$		or candidate	<b>↔</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	18%
					Treating all residents fairly	<b>↔</b>	<b>↔</b>	65%	officials	<b>↔</b>	<b>↔</b>	16%
											$\leftrightarrow$	83%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	$\leftrightarrow$	75%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad *\quad \text{Not available}$